

John Petre [REDACTED]

10/4/18

Page # 26

2. North King Country Sports & Recreation Centre

- 1) Was it a deliberate decision not to allow space for comments on the proposed Recreation Centre?
- 2) No ratepayer total coverage on this project so until this is done there is no evidence of support for the Recreation Centre. This could have been easily achieved with a notice sent out with a recent rate demand. No extra postage cost.
- 3) As facility will be on school grounds how will the general public users fit in with the college use?
- 4) Users of the Centre will be mainly limited to a close area range, therefore, if money is required from ratepayers it is unfair to ask for contributions from those beyond a reasonable distance of Te Kuiti. Note Piopio already has an excellent gymnasium. Te Kuiti High School has also a gym only 45 years old.
- 5) What ratepayers' money is to be used for R&M of the building?
A complete unknown amount.
- 6) We have a shocking Council debt already. Why add to it.



RECEIVED

11 APR 2018

WAITOMO DISTRICT
COUNCIL

SUBMISSION FORM

FULL NAME JOHN LOWREY PETRE

ORGANISATION (If appropriate)

PHONE [REDACTED] **POSTAL ADDRESS** [REDACTED]

EMAIL [REDACTED] P10P10 [REDACTED]

DATE 10/4/18

SIGNATURE [Signature]

Please tick as appropriate. If neither of the boxes are ticked, it will be considered that you do not wish to be heard.

I WISH TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL ABOUT MY SUBMISSION I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK IN SUPPORT OF MY SUBMISSION

PLEASE REMOVE THESE SUBMISSION PAGES (25-28) AND RETURN TO WDC BY 5PM, FRIDAY MAY 4TH 2018.
 FREEPOST 112498
 WAITOMO DISTRICT COUNCIL
 QUEEN STREET, PO BOX 404, TE KUITI 3941

INDICATE WHICH OPTION YOU SUPPORT FOR OUR SIX PROPOSALS:

1 SAFE COMMUNITIES

Council proposes the local establishment of an accredited Safe Community programme using the Safe Communities model. Refer page 9.

Which option do you choose?

OPTION 1 (PREFERRED OPTION)

Council should pursue Safe Communities accreditation to support the development of our communities.

OPTION 1

OPTION 2:

Council should not pursue the safe communities accreditation.

OPTION 2

OPTION 3:

Council should make the Safe Communities initiative an even greater priority and allocate more funding towards it?

OPTION 3

MY COMMENT:

A PRIORITY NEEDS TO BE ON CONTROL OF THE DISRUPTIVE MEMBERS OF OUR COMMUNITY.

E.g. NO CONTROL IS EVIDENT ON THE RIDING OF BICYCLES ON THE FOOTPATHS OF MAINLY RORAST. ALL AGES ARE DOING THIS.

ALSO INTIMIDATING GROUPS OF YOUTHS WHO BY THEIR ACTIONS AND LANGUAGE GIVE ESPECIALLY TE KUITI A BAD REPUTATION TO BOTH VISITORS AND POTENTIAL NEW RESIDENTS. TE KUITI STRUGGLES TO ATTRACT INTEREST BECAUSE OF THIS.

A BEAUTIFUL TOWN AND AREA NOT REACHING ITS POTENTIAL.

DERELICT AND UNSAFE HOUSES NEED ATTENDING TO AS THEY ARE A PRIME HARBOR FOR VANDALS OR CRIME.

PLEASE NOTE: Submissions received on the 2018-28 Long Term Plan, will be made publicly available as required by the Local Government Act 2002 and subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987. This will include name and address of submitters.

SUBMISSION FORM

2 NORTH KING COUNTRY SPORTS AND RECREATION CENTRE

The Game on Charitable Trust (GoCT) proposes the development of the North King Country Indoor Sport and Recreation Centre (the Sports Centre) in Te Kuiti. Council has been invited to **provide funding** for that development. Refer pages 10-11.

Which option do you choose?

OPTION 1 (PREFERRED OPTION)

Council should provide a maximum capital funding grant to the GoCT of **\$1.5 million**, with **\$1 million** provided in **2018/19 year** and \$500,000 in 2019/20 under the proviso that if the GoCT are able to source additional funding from other benefactors then Council would contribute less?

OPTION 1

OPTION 2: *NEITHER UNTIL MORE CLARIFICATION SEE ATTACHED PAPER*

Council should not provide any capital funding for the sports centre.

OPTION 2

4 RATES REMISSION POLICY

We are proposing to establish a new remission category that provides rates remission of up to 50% for one year to new businesses or new developments established within the past 12 months. Council's objective in establishing this remission category is to promote employment and economic development within the District.

We are also proposing a new remission category that provides relief to properties that have been detrimentally affected by erosion, subsidence, submersion, earthquake or other calamities. The objective of establishing this remission category is to assist ratepayers affected by events outside of their control and as the consequence of a natural calamity. Refer page 13.

Council should introduce the new categories for remission into the Rates Remission Policy.

Yes No

3 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Council plans to **participate and become a member of the proposed** Waikato Regional Economic Development Agency (WREDA), and the **South Waikato economic initiative** to develop a strategy and action plan for the economic development of the South Waikato sub-region to get the best possible return for our investment and to take advantage of the opportunity as part of a bigger collective. Refer page 12.

Do you support Council's proposal to participate in the WREDA and the South Waikato economic initiative? (our preferred option)

Yes No

MY COMMENT:

IT APPEARS THAT THERE IS SOME DUPLICATION SO POSSIBLY BEING ALLIED TO THE SOUTH WAIKATO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ACTION PLAN WOULD BE THE ONE TO JOIN.

I NOTE THAT RURAL PROPERTIES ARE RELATIVELY LARGE CONTRIBUTORS BUT ARE MOSTLY FULLY DEVELOPED SO MAIN CONTRIBUTORS SHOULD BE TOWN AND BUSINESS ORIENTATED.

5 PUBLIC TOILETS AT MOKAU AND WAITOMO VILLAGE

Council is proposing to upgrade the Mokau toilets to more appropriately meet user needs in the 2018/19 financial year (first year of the Long Term Plan).

Council is proposing to invest in public toilet facility at Waitomo Village in 2019/20. However, this will be subject to land ownership being vested to Council for such a facility.

The estimated cost of the two facilities will require an investment of approximately \$320,000 each. Refer page 14.

Which option do you choose?

OPTION 1 (PREFERRED OPTION)

Council should apply for external funding from the Tourism Infrastructure Fund (TIF) to cover 50% of the cost of these facilities.

If funding is obtained then proceed with the upgrade at Mokau in 2018/19 and new toilets at Waitomo Village in 2019/20.

OPTION 1

OPTION 2:

Council should not proceed with providing the new and upgraded facilities even if the external funding is obtained.

OPTION 2

MY COMMENT:

WITH THE GREAT INCREASE OF TRAVELLERS AND TOURISTS TO THESE AREAS FACILITIES MUST BE UPGRADED.

6 FUNDING WATER AND SEWERAGE SERVICES

- Council is of the view that the entire District benefits from adequate and environmentally sound Water and Wastewater services and
- these services should cost the same in each community. Refer pages 15-16.

Which option do you choose?

OPTION 1 (PREFERRED OPTION)

Council should implement the 'same service same rate' proposal phased in over four years (for water) and include 10% district benefit rate for all rating units across the district.

Under this option the water and sewerage assistance subsidies currently paid by all rating units in the district would be replaced with the district benefit allocation and the Piopio Wider Benefit Rating Area (PWBRA) sewerage rate would be removed.

OPTION 1

OPTION 2:

Council should stay with the current funding system which is - you pay for water and sewerage based on where you live and all rating units in the district pay an assistance rate for these services in smaller communities and PWBRA Sewerage rate remains.

OPTION 2

MY COMMENT:

SIMPLIFICATION GOOD.

NEED MORE SPACE? Please attach additional paper with your name, phone number and page number on each sheet. THIS SUBMISSION FORM CAN BE COMPLETED ONLINE AT: www.waitomo.govt.nz/shapeWaitomo